Site Assessments Utilising Sustainability Appraisal Criteria (from SA/SEA Scoping Report Appendix C as revised October 2014) 10 June 2015 (incorporating owner-corrected site boundary for site '14X extended')

Score Allocation Against SA Criteria												
Three Points		Two Points		One Point								
Delete Site (see Appendix C for explanation: if relevant criteria met / not met site is deleted at this stage)												

_	Assessment criteria from	Site Assessment Criteria							SITE	IDENT	IFIER									
R	SA Scoping	Site Assessment Gitteria	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12X	13X	14X	14X extended			
ACTIC.	6 and 7	Is >50% site at risk of flooding (from surface water flooding and according to EA flood zoning)?	0%	0-5% (surface water)	0%	0%	0%													
AL IMP	13	Is the site physically attached to the settlement?																		
PLEMENT	22	Is >50% site affected by topographic constraints (e.g. steeply sloping land; poor ground conditions etc)?	<10%	<10%	<10%	<10%	<10%	<10%	19%	61%	38%	32%	38%	<10%	<10%	37%	41.3%			
\triangleright	38	Can the site be accessed practicably?																		
TION C	39	Will the site affect wider area road safety?																		
CRITERIA	TOTALS		13	14	14	13	13	13	14	10	11	12	12	12	14	12	11			
RIA	WEIGHTED	TOTALS (Group 1 = 5)	65	70	70	65	65	65	70	50	55	60	60	60	70	60	55			
	ACTUAL WI	EIGHTED TOTALS (Group 1 = 4.8)	62.4	67.2	67.2	62.4	62.4	62.4	67.2	48.0	52.8	57.6	57.6	57.6	67.2	57.6	52.8			

N	Assessment	Site Assessment Criteria							SITE IDENTIFIER												
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION CRITERIA	criteria from SA Scoping	Site Assessment Criteria	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12X	13X	14X	14X extended				
	1	Distance to Salisbury Plain SAC / SPA																			
	2	Distance to Great Cheverell Hill and Salisbury Plain SSSIs																			
	3	Distance to Local Nature Reserves / County Wildlife Sites / Wiltshire Wildlife Trust sites																			
	4	Distance to Biodiversity Action Plan priority habitat																			
	5	Distance to river / groundwater source protection zone / major aquifer																			
	21	Is site likely to be Previously Developed Land? (N.B. based on visual inspection)																			
	24	Distance to B3098 crossroads (walking)																			
	25	Distance from railway / main road (noise)																			
	26	Proximity to Salisbury Plain (light)																			
	27	Is site likely to be contaminated? (N.B. based on visual inspection)																			
	TOTALS		18	19	18	19	17	18	18	19	19	20	19	19	19	19	19				
	WEIGHTED	TOTALS (Group 2 = 3)	54	57	54	57	51	54	54	57	57	60	57	57	57	57	57				
	ACTUAL W	EIGHTED TOTALS (Group 2 = 3)	54	57	54	57	51	54	54	57	57	60	57	57	57	57	57				

ယ	Assessment criteria from	Site Assessment Criteria							SITE	IDENT	IFIER								
DIS	SA Scoping	Site Assessment Criteria	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12X	13X	14X	14X extended		
DISTANCE	8	Distance to public transport stop (bus)																	
E TO F	9	Distance to village shop																	
FACILITIES CRITERIA	10	Distance to primary school																	
	32	Distance to GP surgery																	
	34	Distance to public house																	
RIA	35	Distance to village hall																	
	36	Distance to public open space																	
	37	Distance to nearest cycle route / public right of way																	
	TOTALS		13	16	20	20	20	23	23	19	21	16	12	19	12	12	12		
	WEIGHTED	TOTALS (Group 3 = 3)	39	48	60	60	60	69	69	57	63	48	36	57	36	36	36		
	ACTUAL W	EIGHTED TOTALS (Group 3 = 3.6)	46.8	57.6	72.0	72.0	72.0	82.8	82.8	68.4	75.6	57.6	43.2	68.4	43.2	43.2	43.2		

4	Assessment criteria from	Site Assessment Criteria							SITE IDENTIFIER										
L A	SA Scoping	Site Assessment Gitteria	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12X	13X	14X	14X extended		
DSCA	12	Would removal of hedgerows or other landscape features be necessary?																	
PE AN	14	Would development affect views to/from surrounding countryside?																	
D VIE	15	Would development fit into existing urban form?																	
NG CB	16	Would development involve the loss of best and most versatile agricultural land (grades 1, 2 and 3a)?																	
NITER!	TOTALS		5	8	6	7	9	9	6	6	6	6	6	9	9	7	6		
₽	WEIGHTED			Not	possibl	e to we	ight as	there a	re two p	eaks in	the mo	de weig	hting s	core)					
	ACTUAL WE	ACTUAL WEIGHTED TOTALS (Group 4 = 3.8)				26.6	34.2	34.2	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	22.8	34.2	34.2	26.6	22.8		

CR	Assessment criteria from Site As	Site Assessment Criteria							SITE	IDENT	IFIER									
5 VILLAGI CRITERIA	SA Scoping	One Assessment orneria	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12X	13X	14X	14X extended			
AGE C	28	Within Conservation Area / Listed Building curtilage or setting																		
HAR.	29	Within curtilage of archaeological heritage asset (SAMs and non-protected sites) or setting																		
CTER	29A	Impact on setting of statutorily protected heritage assets not assessed elsewhere (LBs; SAMs etc)																		
AND	29B	Impact on setting of non-statutory heritage assets not assessed elsewhere (buildings of local importance etc)																		
HERIT	31	Capable of delivering appropriate mix																		
AGE	TOTALS		14	12	10	11	11	12	15	14	14	13	12	11	11	13	13			
	WEIGHTED	TOTALS (Group 5 = 4)	56	48	40	44	44	48	60	56	56	52	48	44	44	52	52			
	ACTUAL WI	EIGHTED TOTALS (Group 5 = 3.9)	54.6	46.8	39.0	42.9	42.9	46.8	58.5	54.6	54.6	50.7	46.8	42.9	42.9	50.7	50.7			

SITE IDENTIFIER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12X	13X	14X	14X extended
UN-WEIGHTED TOTALS	63	69	68	70	70	75	76	68	71	67	61	70	65	63	61
WEIGHTED TOTALS															
ACTUAL WEIGHTED TOTALS	236.8	259.0	255.0	260.9	262.5	280.2	285.3	250.8	262.8	248.7	227.4	260.1	244.5	235.1	226.5

Notes:

- 1 Original criteria 17-19 are not included due to on-going difficulty attributing a score to them or revising the criteria to achieve this.
- 2 Criterion 30 deleted due to change in policy thresholds for delivery of affordable housing in Wiltshire Core Strategy Core Policy 43, which rendered the scoring inappropriate.

Weighting of Group Scores (based on 16 October 2014 Steering Group Exercise)

- 1= not very important or desirable
- 2= fairly important or desirable
- 3= important or desirable;
- 4= very important or desirable
- 5= essential

The mode weight for each Group was as follows (numbers in brackets are 'actual weight' - number of 'x' multiplied by each score they appear in, divided by total number of 'x' (9)):

Group 1 - 5 (4.8)

Group 2 - 3(3)

Group 3 - 3 (3.6)

Group 4 - 3 and 5 (3.8)

Group 5 - 4 (3.9)